P.R. No. 3413/06
Presented by Sh. Rakesh Anthony
dated 19.12.06

(3)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR-(C.G.)

W.P.NO. 657 / 2006

Petitioner : Satyendra Singh Aged about 43 years, S/o Late Parasnath Singh, Dispensery attendant (terminated) GovernmentAyurvedic Dispensery Kurra, Raipur, R/o Qr.No.I/9, Ayurvedic Colony behind Dental College, Raipur Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary Department of Public Health, Indian Medical System and Homeopathy, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Director Directorate of Indian Medical System And Homeopathy, Near Mantralaya DKS Bhawan, Raipur, Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

3. The District Ayurvedic Officer cum Superintendent, Dist : Raipur –(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 19.12.06 CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

19/12/06

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(51)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 457/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	30-1-2006 Shri Rakesh Anthony, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, G.A., for the State of C.G. on advance copy. Heard. The present petition has been filed against the order dated 17/9/2004 (Annexure-P/14) passed by respondent no.3, whereby the services of the petitioner have been terminated. Learned counsel for the State raised an objection to the effect that against the order of termination of service, an appeal lies before the competent authority. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has submitted the representation (Annexure-P/15) dated 26/7/2005 before respondent no.1. On perusal of the record, it appears that the petitioner has not preferred any appeal before the competent authority against the order of termination. He however submitted the representation. The order of termination of service is appealable. The petitioner may prefer an appeal against the order of termination of services before the competent authority, if so advised, alongwith a copy of this order and the application for condonation of delay. If such an appeal is preferred, the same shall be considered and decided on its own merits in accordance with law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

H/-

[पीछे देखिये

1/2/06 1/2/06